



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,019	10/23/2003	Brijesh Krishnaswami	MS306622.01/MSFTP529US	2371
27195	7590	01/06/2009	EXAMINER	
AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 127 Public Square 57th Floor, Key Tower CLEVELAND, OH 44114				WANG, RONGFA PHILIP
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2191				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/06/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@the patent attorneys.com  
hholmes@the patent attorneys.com  
lpasterchek@the patent attorneys.com

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/693,019 <b>Examiner</b> PHILIP WANG	KRISHNASWAMI ET AL. <b>Art Unit</b> 2191

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) PHILIP WANG. (3) Daniel S. Castro(60,359).  
 (2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 40, 69, 73, for example.

Identification of prior art discussed: Keller et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Objections of claims 40, 69, and 73 were discussed. 35 USC 101 rejection of claims 40-63 were discusses. Applicant also presented proposed amendment to claims, examiner provided comments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ted T. Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2191	/Philip R. Wang/ 12/23/2008
--	-----------------------------